

Background:

Established under the 2005 Energy Policy Act:

- **✓ Energy Corridors in the 11 contiguous western states**
- **✓ For BLM: 5,000 Miles / 92 Land Use Plan Amendments**
- ✓ For USFS: 990 Miles / 38 Land Use Plan Amendments
- **✓ Certain Departments and Agencies did not designate corridors**




Purpose of presentation:

- **✓** Background on Corridors
- ✓ Status update on Region 1 Review
- ✓ Overview of Regions 2 and 3 Review
- ✓ Information on tools
- **✓** Opportunities for engagement in the reviews




Background on Corridors

Established under the 2005 Energy Policy Act:






Background on Corridors



Region 1:

✓ Draft Report release – March 2018



Regions 2 & 3:

- ✓ Abstract comments
- ✓ Workshops
- ✓ Draft Report





Regions 4, 5, 6:

✓ Kick-off January 2019









Opportunities for engagement in the reviews

Regions 1, 2 & 3:


Regions 1 Section 368 Energy Corridor Review Process – Regions 2 & 3 STEP 1 STEP 2 STEP 3 Corridor Abstract Development Workshops Repor INITIAL **ANALYSIS PROPOSALS** FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS **INPUTS** FOR: FINALIZED 1. RFI · 4 siting 1. Confirm existing Potential corridor best meets corridor principles 2. Corridor Study siting principles revision, Conflict maps 3. GIS deletions, or · RMP 4. RMP or additions Considered prescriptions 2. Identify opportunities DRAFT FINAL Changes in future land 5. Settlement BSTRACTS to improve corridor REPORT REPORT to IOPs Agreement use planning placement or IOPs or 3. Acknowledge concern not easily resolved or avoided by corridorlevel planning Stakeholder Review Stakeholder Stakeholder (45 days) Recommendation Review Workshops (30 days)




Region 1:

- ✓ Potential revisions
- ✓ Potential deletions
- ✓ Potential additions











Region 1:

Northwest Las Vegas


✓ Potential revisions





Regions 2 & 3:

Section 368 Energy Corridor Review Process - Regions 2 & 3




Stakeholder Comments:

- ✓ Written submittals-5
- ✓ Email submittals-124
 - NGO-12
 - Local government-10
 - State government-7
 - Federal government-7
 - Individuals-4
 - Industry-2
 - Tribe-1

Stakeholder Comments:

- ✓ Various concerns ranging between resource protection to protection of corridor use
- ✓ The review effort is intended to analyze the data according to the Settlement Agreement Siting Principles

Information on Tools



Web-based mapping tool:

- Facilitates use and management of the energy corridors
- Provides data on the energy corridors and existing energy infrastructure
- Provides data on natural and cultural resources
- Facilitates easy online comment submittal and access to corridor information

Register at: https://bogi.evs.anl.gov/section368/portal

Opportunities for engagement in the reviews

Regions 1, 2 & 3: Regions 2 & 3 Regions 1 Regions 2 & 3 Section 368 Energy Corridor Review Proce STEP 1 STEP 2 STEP 3 Corridor Abstract Development Workshops Repor INITIAL **ANALYSIS PROPOSALS** FRAMEWORK **ANALYSIS INPUTS** FOR: FINALIZED 1. RFI · 4 siting 1. Confirm existing Potential corridor best meets corridor principles 2. Corridor Study siting principles revision, Conflict maps 3. GIS deletions. or · RMP 4. RMP or additions Considered prescriptions 2. Identify opportunities FINAL DRAFT Changes in future land 5. Settlement BSTRACTS to improve corridor REPORT REPORT to IOPs Agreement use planning placement or IOPs or 3. Acknowledge concern not easily resolved or avoided by corridorlevel planning Stakeholder Review Stakeholder Stakeholder (45 days) Recommendation Review Workshops (30 days)

Opportunities for engagement in the reviews

Regions 4, 5 & 6: Beginning 2019

