

WRP Steering Committee with Committee Co-Chair Call

MAY 2018

Today's Agenda

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Opening Remarks by WRP Co-Chairs
- 3. Approval of WRP SC February Meeting Call Notes
- 4. WRP SC Subcommittee on GIS Update by Colonel Johnston, Chair *Presentation, Discussion and Potential Action*
- 5. Results from Recent Survey: 2018 WRP Principals' Meeting Planning *Presentation, Discussion and Potential Action*
- 6. Update and Discussion of WRP Priorities and Committee Efforts *Presentation, Discussion and Potential Action*
- 7. WRP Working Group Updates:

Presentation, Discussion and Potential Action

- Tribal Engagement Temporary Working Group
- BLM Planning Temporary Working Group
- 8. DRAFT List of 2018 WRP Principals Presentation, Discussion and Potential Action
- 9. Around the Phone Updates

Administrative Items

Opening Remarks by WRP Co-Chairs

- Ryan McGinness, State (Lead)
- Kristin Thomasgard-Spence, DoD
- Casey Hammond, DOI

Please Welcome to WRP:

New WRP SC Member:

- Deborah L. Lawler, Special Assistant, Bureau of Reclamation
- NM: Kyler Nerison, Assistant Chief of Staff for Federal Affairs, Office of Governor Susana Martinez
- · Gregory C. Mehojah, Deputy Regional Director, BIA, Southwest Region
- CO: John Swartout, Senior Policy Advisor, Office of Governor John W. Hickenlooper
- State Lands: Tim Donaldson, Coordinator of Special Projects and Strategic Planning, UT School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration

WRP MRHSDP&A Committee Co-Chair

Major Jennifer Ledford, Regional Airspace Coordinator, Marine Corps Installations-West

Congratulations and Best Wishes to Jacqueline Buchanan on her recent wedding (in Scotland!)

Approval of WRP SC February Meeting Call Notes





WRP STEERING COMMITTEE SUBCOMMITTEE ON GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS (GIS)

May 2018 Update to WRP SC by Colonel Johnston

WRP SC Subcommittee Members:

- Colonel Johnston, U.S. Marine Corps SC Member & Chair of the WRP SC Subcommittee on GIS
- Dwight Deakin, SC Chair, Navy SC Member
- Kristin Thomasgard-Spence, Office of Secretary of Defense SC Member

GIS Support Group Co-Leads:

- Jim O'Sullivan (GIS Liaison to the Energy Committee), Industry Economist, Office of Petroleum, Natural Gas & Biofuels Analysis, U.S. Energy Information Administration
- Mike Dick, Biologist (IT), U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Region 2, Regional Office, (GIS Liaison to the Natural Resources Committee)
- Carol Ostergren, (GIS Liaison to the MRHSDP&A Committee), Geospatial Liaison for CA and NV, US Geological Survey National Geospatial Program

Update: Five WRP GIS Working Agreements Terminated

Per WRP SC direction provided during the February 2018 call, all agencies (listed below). All accepted the recommendation to terminate the GIS Working Agreement with WRP

Parties	Authorized Representatives	Date
Geoscience Information Network (GIN)	GIN: Dr. Lee Allison WRP: Brig Gen (ret) Hanson Scott*	2011
CA Department of Fish and Game, in cooperation with the WGA Southwest States Crucial Habitat Assessment Tool (CHAT)	CA: Tom Lupo WRP: Kevin Carter*	2011
NV Department of Wildlife (NDOW), in cooperation with the WGA Southwest States CHAT	NV: Laura Richards WRP: Kevin Carter*	2011
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources	UT: Carmen Bailey WRP: Kevin Carter*	2011
NM Department of Game and Fish	NM: James S. Lane Jr. WRP: Kevin Carter*	2012

^{*} WRP SC Chair at the time

Decision Item for WRP SC:

Revise Form for an agency to complete if they have non-GIS resources available to post on WRP website

- During the February WRP SC call, a form for an agency to complete if they have GIS/data resources to share with WRP was approved
 - Purpose: Provide a process for GIS/Data Resources to be shared with WRP. Also asks for GIS recommendations and if the agency has GIS capabilities that could assist WRP in its efforts.
- During the April call of the WRP SC Subcommittee on GIS, a discussion was held on how best to manage posting of non-GIS resources to ensure transparency.
 Recommendation is to use existing processes:
 - Modify the form for agency use (Please reference attachment in email)
 - Ask Committee Co-Chairs to include a standing agenda item on their calls to seek their input on resources to add to the WRP website. Any items provided by a WRP Partner would be shared with the appropriate committee for consideration and all recommendations shared with this Subcommittee.

Please review www.wrpinfo.org and provide your input on content & functionality

Other Actions/Updates

- WRP website:
 - Updated to include GIS-related resources from 2017 WRP report
 - WRP GIS webpage has a search feature added to find GIS resources in most user-friendly fashion (per September WRP SC request).
- GIS Liaisons participate as needed in Committee Co-Chair calls and as requested/and share appropriate data resources
- Coordinated with all Committees to determine if there are any GIS/Data needs. None noted at this time. Will continue to follow up.

Results from Recent Survey: 2018 WRP Principals' Meeting Planning

2018 WRP Principals' Meeting Planning Consensus Reached During February 2018 Call

(based on input from Principals' Meeting survey)

- Hold Tenth WRP Principals' Meeting in November 2018 in New Mexico.
- •Hold the Meeting over a two-day period: day 1: 10 am to 5 pm; day two: 8 am to 12 noon.
- Continue to coordinate on best approaches for a site visit (i.e. tribal/cultural resources tour held with Tribal WG; DoD installation tour helps with BLM WG/MRHSDP&A Committee/Principals' Meeting).
 - Follow up survey sent to WRP SC (please see next slides)
- Plan on having four plenary sessions; one of the sessions should continue state-federal relations discussion ("top-rated session)
 - Continue discussions on whether one of the four plenary sessions should be a break-out session and, if so, how best to facilitate.
- Set aside dedicated time for WRP Principals to have a conversation at the meeting. There seemed to be support for exploring this as a breakfast meeting.

Q1: Which of the following set of dates do NOT work for you or your Principal to attend the Tenth WRP Principals' Meeting in NM:

- Nov 8/9: 11 (CA, CA ITC, BuRec, FAA, NPS, USMC & USN Principal, & 4 Committee Co-Chairs)
- •Nov 13/14: 5 (BuRec, CBP, FEMA, NOAA, USN (Deakin))
- •Nov 14/15: 3 (Utah State Lands, CA ITC, USN (Deakin))
- Nov 15/16: 6 (NM (Nerison), Utah State Lands, USGS, USN (Deakin) & 2 Committee Co-Chairs)

Best date for the WRP Principals' Meeting based on input is: November 14 – 15, 2018

Q2: Do you have any recommendations of potential meeting location for the Tenth WRP Principals' Meeting in NM

(we are seeking locations that offer per diem and have meeting space of least 4,300 sq feet for main meeting):

- •Input provided via survey:
 - Location is awesome: Hyatt Tamaya
 - Sandia Resort
 - Marriott Pyramid
 - Santa Fe Convention Center
 - Would need to confirm size availability:
 - Kirtland AFB, Indian Pueblo Cultural Center, BIA Training Center in ABQ, Forest Service Training Center in ABQ, WSMR/EI Paso, Las Cruces on the NMSU Campus
- Last year, when planning on having WRP Principals' Meeting in NM (before meeting moved to AZ) the "top" locations were:
 - Hyatt Tamaya, Crowne Plaza and ABQ Marriott

Recommend: Empowering the WRP SC Co-Chairs to investigate potential meeting locations and report back on findings and seek input during the July 2018 WRP SC Call.

Q3. Which of the following site tours are you MOST likely to attend:

- Military Installation Tour: 8
- Tribal/Cultural Resources Tour: 13
- Either: 5
- No Tour: 4

Above results consistent with survey results from 2017 WRP Principals' Meeting:

 More respondents (42%) recommended a Tribal/Cultural Resources Tour be held in conjunction with the Tribal Engagement Temporary Working Group

Q4. With which of the following meetings are you most likely to attend a site tour:

(trying to ascertain level of interest so that we best use resources):

- The WRP Principals' Meeting (Nov in NM): 8
- WRP Steering Committee Meeting (TBD): 4
- Not interested in such a tour: 3
- All work: 3
- Combination answers:
 - SC, PM, Specially Coordinated: 3
 - PM, SC: 4
 - PM, BLM WG: 1
 - SC, BLM WG: 2

Q5. Which installation are you most interested in touring/having a meeting at:

- Camp Pendleton (CA): 10
- Buckley AFB (CO): 3
- NAS Fallon (NV): 4
- Either: 2
- Camp Pendleton/NAS Fallon: 1
- Camp Pendleton/Buckley: 1
- Camp Pendleton/NAS Fallon/Area 51: 1
- Kirtland: 1

Q6. What are you most interested in learning about from the military during this tour:

• Encroachment:

- If they are experiencing significant encroachment issues and how they are mitigating such impacts in coordination with state/local governments
- How can we best help them maintain the viability of their installation. How can we help?
- · What their priorities are in working with surrounding communities
- · Environmental, encroachment, and other challenges and to learn how they are mitigating those challenges

Natural Resources/Science:

- Natural resources management and how they make that work
- Needs for science information and assessments for their work
- Engagement with surrounding communities on endangered species issues
- Why the location is mission critical and what obstacles they face with federal land management and environmental laws.
- Where and how we can improve coordination
- Access and mobility procedures for protected lands
- Aviation:
 - Kirtland shares runway with the International airport so it would be interesting to hear how they collaborate to ensure safe operations
 - · Aerial flight routes and elevation of flights
 - Aviation based issues/impact
- Range tours provide one of the best opportunities to educate partners on what the military actually does AND the level of environmental stewardship

Q7. Where do you recommend a Tribal/Cultural Resources Tour be held:

- It would be ideal to visit an Indian Reservation; if not possible, Tribal/Cultural Resources tour at a museum (e.g. Indian Pueblo Cultural Center)
- •AZ or NM: 2
- •AZ:
 - TON in AZ
- •NM:
 - NM has 22 Tribes, Nations and Pueblos, many near Santa Fe
 - Indian Pueblo Cultural Center in ABQ
 - Cultural/archeological sites surrounding ABQ
 - Chaco, or anything relatively close by the chosen meeting location.
 - I think ABQ would have the greatest number of opportunities in close proximity, but no specific locations to recommend.
- NV: Grimes Point Archaeological Area
- •CO:
 - National Eagle Repository
 - Sand Creek Massacre National Historic Site would also be interesting, but probably too far of a drive
 - Colorado Ute Tribal lands

Q8. What are you most interested in learning during this Tribal/Cultural Resources tour:

- Situational awareness/working together
 - The framework of their interaction with state government
 - Tribal engagement, legal and policy issues
 - How can we best work together
 - Sharing cultural and tribal governmental functions
 - Ways to develop stronger relationship between Tribes and WRP
 - How we can improve coordination and communication
- Environmental concerns
- Importance of eagles and perhaps migratory birds to their culture
- Needs for science information for their location and cultural importance of the location and any historical or tribal knowledge about the location
- Cultural/archeological sites surrounding ABQ
- Aviation based issues/impact
- Values and cultural aspects to gain a better understanding and perspective (especially as it relates to informing decisions and actions do not conflict).

Update and Discussion of WRP Priorities and Committee Efforts

WRP Energy Committee

- BLUF: Working to identify trends in energy policies and projects; share information and assist coordination regarding potential mission impacts
 - Things are in flux; changes in policies, market changes, infrastructure focus, upcoming Governors' elections (5 of the 6 WRP States)

Webinars:

- Completed: Section 368 Regional Review Project (March 9)
- Coordinating:
 - Reliability coordination, energy imbalance market (EIM) & how they work together/process
 - Tribal Energy Webinar seeking Tribal Engagement Temporary Working Group input on potential presenters and topics

WRP Energy Committee

Draft Survey – Requesting input on wording/content by June 1

- Once finalized, survey to be sent to WRP SC and WRP Energy Committee for action
- Purpose: Provide Energy Committee update on efforts and seek input on 2018 Next Steps
- •Working to Capture:
 - Agency recent or anticipated energy policy changes
 (administrative or statutory) and emerging trends/changes in
 energy-related focus (large scale energy projects such as
 transmission corridors, renewable energy, pipelines)?
 - New energy generation and transmission planning processes or other engagement opportunities to address/mitigate mission impacts, especially those impacts on the military's ability to test and train, natural and cultural resources, and Tribal lands
 - Interested members to participate in working calls

WRP MRHSDP&A Committee

•BLUF: Committee is "mission" focused; working to capture emerging issues and identify impacts

•Webinars:

- Completed: DoD Aviation (April 18)
- Upcoming: June 12th (10 am to 11 am Pacific) featuring FEMA's Regional Emergency Communications Coordination Working Group (RECCWG) liaisons and an opportunity to discuss communication interoperability issues and solutions sets.
- Coordinating:
 - UAS
 - Webinar dedicated to highlighted state military efforts (AMAC, JMAC, etc.)

WRP MRHSDP&A Committee

•Seeking input:

- 2015 WRP Aviation Sustainability Report (working to ensure current aviation issues are captured)
- Interest in having this Committee support a meeting/tour of a DoD installation (based on survey, seems preference not at this time)
- In Cyber issues (still brainstorming this priority/reading through DHS recent released cybersecurity strategy; more to come)
- Interested in WRP DoD Core update on relevant issues

WRP Natural Resources Committee

•BLUF: Main focus this year is assisting efforts to preclude or delist species through coordinating conservation efforts

•Webinars:

- Upcoming: May 24 (12 noon to 1 Pacific) on DoD's Natural Resources Program and the Collaborative Wildlife Protection and Recovery Initiative
- Coordinating:
 - State Wildlife Focused Webinar
 - Federal Agency Planning Webinar (with focus on BLM RMP and other federal planning efforts)
 - Sept 26: Water Webinar (featuring Western States Water Council)

WRP Natural Resources Committee

Species Survey: Seeking Input

•Within the WRP region:

- 532-listed species believed to or known to occur in WRP Region
- 117 species that are part of the seven-year work plan
- 35 species that are part of the FY17 workload
- · 23 unscheduled listing actions for species
- 18 Downlisting and Delisting Species

Circulated survey to seek input on:

- Species of Concern
- Recommended Committee Criteria and Objective
- Interest in participating in working calls

•Next Steps:

- Following up to get survey input
- June: WRP Committee Co-Chairs to take input and finalize species of interest/committee criteria
- July 2018: Develop draft action plan and send out to WRP NR Committee
- July through October 2018: Hold on-going calls to gather relevant input on species of interest to:
 - Identify threats and opportunities and quick successes
 - Leverage existing and ongoing efforts (identify WRP Partner interest and opportunities to maximize efficiencies and resources)
 - Develop data about "top" species and develop data overlays, with habitat and range (species synopsis)

Working Group Updates:

Tribal Engagement
 BLM Planning

Per 2017 WRP Principals' Meeting WRP SC is enabled to establish working groups to address strategic priorities adopted at a Principals' meeting that fall outside of existing committee structure or overlap committee jurisdiction.

- The working group activities are to be limited in time and scope
- SC will apprise the Principals of their activities at the following annual meeting

Tribal Engagement Temporary Working Group

- •Within the WRP Region there are 172 Federally Recognized Tribes. The percentage of Indian Trust land within each of the WRP States ranges from .5 to 27.6%.
- •This working group will:
 - Develop tools and strategies to support outreach and engagement of tribal governments to support the goals and objectives of the WRP
 - Support WRP Committee efforts by addressing such issues as: species/habitat, energy development and policy emergency/disaster planning
 - Encourage increased inclusion of tribal perspectives
 - Improve the working relationships with federal and state entities on WRP initiatives
- •Seeking input:
 - Contacts: Tribal Members and Agency Tribal Liaisons to include
 - Sharing of Resources: Existing agency Tribal efforts (outreach, funding etc.) that could be leveraged/built upon

Interested Members/Recommendations for Tribal Engagement Temporary WG:

•Tribal:

- Connie Reitman
- Bidtah Becker

•Federal:

- BIA: Greg Mehojah
- BLM: Lucas Lucero and NV BLM coming up with a POC
- CBP: Shelly Lubin, Assistant Chief
- DOE: Doug Little & Matt Manning
- NOAA: Jeff Zimmerman
- NRCS: Barry Hamilton
- US Forest Service: Jacqueline Buchanan
- USFWS: Recommended we invite: Sherry Barrett (Mexican Wolf Management Plan)

•State:

UT: Tim Donaldson

WRP seeks to better engage with Tribal members. A few requests: WRP Energy Committee Co-Chairs seek input on potential presenters and topics for an Energy webinar dedicated on Tribal Energy; and a Tribal Co-Chair to add to the WRP Energy Committee (replacing Secretary Zunie)

We will be reaching out to those who graciously agreed to be part of this WG to set up the first call to brainstorm and get your insight on next steps, gaps, etc.

BLM Planning Temporary Working Group

- Within the WRP Region, BLM manages a significant amount of land.
- This work group will assist WRP members in better understanding BLM processes and proactively addressing land issues.
- •The primary purpose of this work group is to enhance coordination with key DoD members to identify best practices in addressing DoD issues of concern with BLM RMP efforts in the WRP Region and provide key information on how DoD can best collaborate with BLM.
- Additionally, this work group will seek to engage state perspectives, with a goal of identifying opportunities for mutual support to advance common interests associated with BLM and DoD planning processes.

Interested BLM, DoD and State Members/Recommendations for BLM Temporary WG:

•BLM:

- Abbie Jossie (UT)
- Lucas Lucero (AZ)

•DoD:

- Air Force: Steve Arenson
- Army: Liz Hill
- Navy: Dwight Deakin
- OSD Kristin Thomasgard-Spence and Bill VanHouten

•State:

- CA: Scott Morgan and Gareth Smythe
- CO: John Swartout
- NM: Kyler Nerison
- NV: Ryan McGinness and Pam Robinson
- UT: Kathleen Clarke and Tim Donaldson

BLM Planning Temporary Working Group Next Steps

•Schedule a kick-off Meeting:

- Identify best date and location for meeting
- Solidify membership and leadership
- Develop initial action plan
- Start crafting an update for WRP Principals' Meeting

Draft list of 2018 WRP Principals

WRP Leadership

WRP Co-Chairs, WRP Principals, WRP Steering Committee Members and Committee Co-Chairs

DRAFT As of May 2018

WRP Co-Chairs:

- · Honorable Gary Herbert, Governor of Utah
- Mr. Joe Balash, Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management, DOI
- Mr. Lucian Niemeyer, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment

WRP Principal Organization*	Principal	WRP Steering Committeee Member	WRP Committee Co-Chairs	Other Key Members to invite to Principals' Meetings
		<u>States</u>		
Arizona	Kirk Adams, Chief of Staff, Office of the Governor of AZ		NR: Thomas M. Finnegan, Colonel (Retired), Arizona Military Affairs Commission	
	POC: Dr. Cuffari		NR: Kevin Kinsall, Natural Resources Intergovernmental CoordinatoArizona Game and Fish Department	
California	Scott Morgan, CA Director of State Clearinghouse and Deputy Director of Administration - Governor's Office of Planning and Research	Gareth Smythe, Assistant Policy Advisor and Military Land Use Planner, Governor's Office of Planning and Research, Governor's Military Council, Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr.	Energy: Jim Bartridge, Senior Transmission Program Specialist, Siting, Transmission, and Environmental Protection Division, California Energy Commission	
Colorado	Simon Tafoya, Senior Director for Policy, Office of Governor John Hickenlooper	John Swartout, Senior Policy Advisor, Office of Governor John W. Hickenlooper		
New Mexico	Keith Gardner, Chief of Staff, Office of the Governor of NM	Kyler Nerison, Director of Boards & Commissions at Office of the Governor, Office of Governor Susana Martinez		
Nevada	Ryan McGinness, Director, Washington DC Office, State of Nevada	Ryan McGinness, Director, Washington DC Office, State of Nevada	Energy: Angie Dykema, Director, Nevada Governor's Office of Energy	
Utah	The Honorable Gary Herbert, Governor of Utah	Michael Mower, Deputy Chief of Staff, Utah Governor's Office, (Liaison to Governor Herbert, WRP Co-Chair)		
	POC: Mr. Michael Mower	Tim Donaldson, Coordinator of Special Projects and Strategic Planning		
Western Governors' Association Liaison	Jim Ogsbury, Executive Director, Westem Governors' Association	Jim Ogsbury, Executive Director, Western Governors' Association		
		Native American Leadership		
Native American S	iri	Bidtah Becker, Executive Director of the Division of Natural Resources, Navajo Nation	MRHSDP&A: Connie Reitman, Executive Director, Inter-Tribal Council of CA, Inc.	
Leadership		Connie Reitman, Executive Director, Inter-Tribal Council of CA, Inc.	NR: Clayton Honyumptewa, Director, Department of Natural Resources, The Hopi Tribe	

Upcoming WRP SC meetings with Committee Co-chairs:

- •CALL: Friday, May 18, 2018: 10:00 11:00 am Pacific
- •CALL: July 25, 2018: 10-11 am Pacific
- •MEETING: September 21 2018: 8:30 am -3 pm; location not confirmed
- November 14-15 2018, WRP Principals' Meeting in NM
 - (Confirming the date on this call)

Around the Phone Updates

DOE Update:

On Monday, May 14, 2018 the U.S. Department of Energy stood up the Office of Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Energy Response (CESER). CESER addresses the emerging threats of tomorrow while protecting the reliable flow of energy to Americans today by improving energy infrastructure security and supporting the Department of Energy's (DOE) national security mission. CESER's focus is preparedness and response activities to natural and man-made threats, ensuring a stronger, more prosperous, and secure future for the Nation.

Earlier this week, the Department also released the <u>DOE</u> <u>Multiyear Plan for Energy Sector Cybersecurity</u> that lays out an integrated strategy to reduce cyber risks in the U.S. energy sector by pursuing high-priority activities that are coordinated with other DOE offices, and with the strategies, plans, and activities of the federal government and the energy sector.

Survey sent to all WRP
Principals'
Meeting
attendees.


The following slides reflect input PROVIDED through the survey plus one email.

Back Up Slides from Back Up Slides from Eebruary 2018 SC Call

Results of Principals' Meeting Survey


Input on 2017 WRP Principals'
Meeting and recommendations for 2018

Q1. Did the 2017 WRP Principals' Meeting meet your **expectations?**



More (71%) felt the WRP Principals' Meeting Met their expectations; was worth their time to attend

Q2. Which of the following plenary sessions was of most interest to you/your agency:



Q3. Is there a person or agency missing at the WRP Principals' Meeting that you recommend we invite next year:

- •68.6%: No; representation was good
- •Federal:
 - Coast Guard; More DOI HQ Representatives
 - Can't think of any. FEMA?
 - More senior representatives from USFS
 - Consider having OASD(EIE) encourage counterparts from the Services to participate as well...hard sell to get all there
 - DHS/CBP Air and Marine Operations
- •There are three principals, but I think we might want to consider a 4th with the tribes.
- State:
 - Arizona Department of Health Services
 - Conservation Districts and State Department of Agriculture
 - More state representatives
 - People from Colorado
 - More state land management agencies and wildlife management agencies


TAKEAWAY:

WRP does a very good job of getting the right people/mix of agencies to the WRP Principals' Meetings

Q4. What did you like about the WRP Principals' meeting (location, agenda, speakers, etc.)


33% Noted the Location of the Meeting	 Functional, convenient to downtown, easy to get to, Good location in proximity to hotel; Arizona is easy to get to, central location
22.5% Noted the Speakers	 High quality of panel members; breadth of knowledge of presenters; engaging; represented diverse audiences Plenary Sessions were very beneficial and informative.
18.5% Noted the Agenda	 The Agenda was well coordinated and executed. Agenda flowed well and was pertinent Number of plenary sessions allowed for a diversity of issues to be presented and discussed
13% Noted Attendees	 Engagement by DoD leadership is great. The wide variety and high level of attendees; senior leaders as well as staff Opportunity to network
13% Noted Meeting was Well-run/ executed	It was very efficient and run very well.Open, productive dialogue.Very well organized

Q5. Which **meeting schedule** do you prefer?




More (71%) Support having the Meeting occur over a two-day period: Day 1: 10 am to 5 pm; Day 2: 8 am to 12 noon

Q6. **Plenary Sessions**Which of the following do you recommend for the 2018 WRP Principals' Meeting:




More (59%) support having the same number of Plenary Sessions (four)

Q7. Which of the following **timing and location** work best for you to attend the next WRP Principals' meeting:



The Location and Timing Most (45%) Support is: November 2018 in New Mexico


Q8. If an **optional site tour** were to be held, which of the following are you most interested in (trying to assess if there is enough interest in a particular tour):



More respondents (42%) recommended a Tribal/Cultural Resources Tour be held in conjunction with the Tribal Engagement Temporary Working Group

Q9. Please provide 2018 WRP Principals' Meeting **Plenary Session topics** recommendations.

Note: it is helpful if topics align with WRP Mission



Top topic (24%) recommended is to continue the state-federal relations discussion

Q10. Do you have any other comments for consideration for the 2018 WRP Principals' Meeting:

- The majority did not answer this question
- Input included:
 - Keep up the good work
 - Need to improve the evening reception; previous receptions were better
 - Sharing positive outcomes
 - More structured networking opportunities
 - DOI Executive Leadership needs to engage
 - · Like the ease of being able to walk from the hotel to the meeting
 - More engagement by WRP Principals
 - Participation in WRP is valuable and worth the time
 - Nice job this year, Amy and team
 - · Great forum, thanks for including me
 - Hold several break-out sessions to be held concurrently dealing w/ critical WRP issues, and to each breakout, assign a diffuse assortment of WRP attendees and report back at the broader meeting